Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

CHAPTER 9

BASIN MODELING

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Objectives & Scenarios											
Objectives & Scenarios The basin modeling study use TemisFlow 1D and 2D software. It aims at improving further the knowledge on the active petroleum systems of offshore Nova Scotia by expanding the work carried out in the frame of the 2011 Play Fairway Analysis to neighboring areas through: Integration of petroleum systems elements into the model (source rock layers, plays systems, etc.) Thermal and pressure modelling Maturity modeling for kitchen areas identification Description of migration processes and hydrocarbon fluids composition evolution through geological time Identification of potential accumulation locations The 1D models provide a preliminary calibration at well location with the highest stratigraphic resolution. It is also dedicated to the study of the Grand Bank area (Newfoundland) and of the deep offshore domain. The 2D models tested alternative geological scenarios, evaluating their impact on the petroleum system quality: Scenario 1 / Reference Scenario (Shallow Tithonian horizon, Tithonian source rock is Type II/III, reference crust model) Scenario 3: More proficient Tithonian source rock (Type II) Scenario 4: Deep Tithonian horizon 						Location Map	o 800,000	900,000 1,000		1,200,000 1,300,	000 1,400,000 1,50(5,100,00 5,000,00 4,900,00
Lithologies T	emisFlow	2D				4,800,000	St. M		K M M	m	4,800,00
Mixed Lithologies L01 L02 L03 L04 L05 L06 L07 L08 L09 L10 L11 L12 L13	Shale (%) 100 100 80 60 40 20 0 10 50 30 10 40 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Sand (%) 0 20 40 60 80 100 0 20 40 60 0 20 40 60 0 20 40	Carbonate Nearshore (%)	Carbonate Mudstone (%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	A set of 36 lithologies is used in the various Temis2D models built. 6 of them are pure lithologies, the rest of them being mixed lithofacies, their lithology composition being defined by a percentage of four pure poles which are Sand, Shale, Carbonate Nearshore and Carbonate Mudstone. The lithology mixing scheme is identical to the one defined in the frame of the 2011 Play Fairway Analysis for the 2D basin modeling of the Project. It allows us to leverage the 2011 Dionisos modeling results while converting the continuous lithology distribution as provided by Dionisos software into a discrete spatial facies model as required for basin modeling studies.	700,000	nent nent model has been	900,000 1,000	2000 1,100,000	1,200,000 1,300, 1 2 3 4 E 1 1 2 1 3 E 4 E 1 1 2 1 3 E 4 E	is 2D), allowing for a fully coupled
L15 L16 L17 L18 L19 L20 L21 L22 L23 L24 L25	80 60 40 20 50 30 10 20 0 60 40	0 20 40 60 0 20 40 0 20 0 20 0	0 0 0 0 30 30 30 60 60 60 0	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	Petrophysical laws attached to each lithotype have been kept identical to the ones used in the frame of the 2011 Play Fairway Analysis for the sake of modeling results consistency.	The thermal computation The thermal based Alternative crust ge pre-salt sediments The crust undergo thermal model. The crust in the oceanion	on between the Uppe ment features the s eometry has been te have been tentative bes regular thinning e impact on the temp c domain.	er Mantle, the Crust and ame thermal characte ested in a 4th scenario. ly integrated to the geole from North-West to Sou perature & maturity field	the sediments. eristics for each of the In Temis 1D the lithosp ogical model (see the se uth-East. A rifting event s is taken into account v	4 cross-sections (Tem heric model is slightly ection dedicated to the between 225 and 20 vith the rise of the 133	his 2D) which have been modeled. different given that for the first time 1D modeling for more details). 0 Ma has been implemented in the 0°C isotherm and the thinning of the
L23 L26 L27 L28 L29 L30	20 30 10 0 40	40 0 20 0 0 20	0 30 30 60 0	40 40 40 40 40 60 60	Other Pure Lithologies	Timeline	Age	Upper Crust (thickness varies laterally)	Lower Crust (thickness varies laterally)	Upper Mantle (lithospheric mantle)	Bottom thermal boundary condition
L31 L32 L33	0 10	40 0	0 30	60 60	Salt Source Rock	Before Rifting	Before 225 Ma	Initial Continental Crust (20 km)	Initial Continental Crust (12 km)	93 km	Isotherm 1330°C at base of Upper Mantle
Lithologies T	emisFlow	1D				End of Rifting	200 Ma	Oceanic Crust (4 km) Continental Crust (up to 10-20 km)	Oceanic Crust (2.4 km) Continental Crust (up to 10 km)		Rise of Isotherm 1330°C
been paid to carbonate rocks. On the contrary the library of mixed clastic lithologies has been simplified because migration processes are not modeled in 1D (see the section dedicated to the 1D modeling for more details).						After Rifting	After 200 Ma	Stable thickness	Stable thickness		Progressive deepening of the isotherm 1330°C down to the initial base of Upper Mantle



Common Input Data of Temis1D and Temis2D studies – Objectives / Lithologies / Basement

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Chemical Scheme					
Compound	Color	Compound Type	Mobility	Lumping Class	Thermal Stability
C1C5		Hydrocarbon	Mobile	GAS	Stable
C6-C13		Hydrocarbon	Mobile	OIL	Unstable
C14+		Hydrocarbon	Mobile	OIL	Unstable
Non-HC		Non Hydrocarbon	Mobile	1	Stable
NSO-Oil		Hydrocarbon	Mobile	OIL	Unstable
NSO-SR		Hydrocarbon	Immobile	1	Unstable
Precoke		Solid OM	Immobile	1	Unstable

Maturation of kerogens can generate 7 families of chemical components presented in the table above.

• The "Non-HC" fraction mainly corresponds to CO2. "C" refers to the number of carbon in aliphatic chains.

- "NSO" refers to Nitrogen/Sulfur/Oxygen rich molecules. This chemical fraction also contains heavy oils.
- C1-C5 corresponds to the GAS and {C6-C13; C14+; NSO-Oil} correspond to the OIL.

A "mobile" fraction may migrate in reservoir layers while an "immobile" is solid or so viscous that it remains in the source rock. An "unstable" fraction may be altered by secondary cracking to generate lighter compounds such as C6-C13 or C1-C5.

C1-C5	C6-C13	C14+	NSO-Heavy Oil
326 kg/m3	841 kg/m3	897 kg/m3	980 kg/m3

Average Densities at Surface Conditions (for the 4 mobile hydrocarbons classes)

Kinetics scheme

Type III kerogen

(Brent – Dogger; North Sea) - Vandenbrouke et al., 1999





Kerogen maturation follows "kinetic schemes" specific to each kerogen type. The maturation process is divided in "n" parallel chemical reactions (11 to 15 in that case) which have their own reaction speeds. Reaction speed is calculated with the Arrhenius Law and depends on: the Activation Energy, the Arrhenius Coefficient (specific to each chemical reaction), and the temperature. Each reaction generates chemical fractions defined by the chemical scheme. Tables and graphs detail the 3 kinetic schemes used in this study (Type III, Type II-III, Type II). These schemes are derived from the PFA2011 study. Secondary cracking reactions also follow kinetics laws.



- •

The same source rocks and kerogens are used both in 1D and 2D models. However the distribution of kerogen types and of TOC values may be adapted to the context and objective of each model. For example the 5 source rock layers are implemented in all the 1D models (if the stratigraphic layer exists - no hiatus or erosion), even if geochemical data do not indicate the presence of organic-rich layer at the well location.

Type II-III kerogen (mix)

Sorted by chronological order: Pliensbachian (196 Ma) Misaine (or Callovian 166 Ma) Tithonian (148 Ma) Mississauga (or Valanginian 136 Ma) Naskapi (or Aptian 122 Ma)

									(M	lesnil-2	-Toarc	ian; Fra	nce) -B	ehar et	al., 199	97		
HC	NSO-Oil %	NSO-SR %	Precoke %	Coke %			Activation Energy Kcal/mol	Arrhenius Coefficient 1/s	Frequency %	Sums of Fractions %	C1-C5 %	C6-C13 %	C14+ %	Non-HC %	NSO-Oil %	NSO-SR %	Precoke %	Co 9
2.2	4.00	45.47	17.6				44.0	1.64514	0.17	100.0	6.70	2.09	6.66	10.2	1.60	16.17	47.6	0
1.3	1.08	10.17	47.0	0.0			44.0	1.04E14	0.17	100.0	6.72	3.00	6.55	19.3	1.00	15.17	47.5	0
	1.00	10.17	47.0	0.0			40.0	1.64E14	0.22	100.0	6.72	2.00	6.55	10.2	1.00	15.17	47.5	
2	1.00	15.17	47.5	0.0			50.0	1.64E14	0.32	100.0	6.72	3.08	6.55	19.3	1.68	15.17	47.5	0
Л	1.00	11.7	47.J 61.7	0.0			52.0	1.64E14	8.47	100.0	6.72	3.08	6.55	19.3	1.68	15.17	47.5	1
4	1.3	11.7	61.7	0.0			54.0	1.64E14	67.38	100.0	6.72	3.08	6.55	19.3	1.68	15.17	47.5	0
4	1.3	11.7	61.7	0.0			56.0	1.64E14	12.58	100.0	6.72	3.08	6.55	19.3	1.68	15.17	47.5	0
4	1.3	11.7	61.7	0.0	=		58.0	1.64E14	1.67	100.0	6.72	3.08	6.55	19.3	1.68	15.17	47.5	0
4	1.3	11.7	61.7	0.0			60.0	1.64E14	2.93	100.0	6.72	3.08	6.55	19.3	1.68	15.17	47.5	
4	1.3	11.7	61.7	0.0		1	0 62.0	1.64E14	4.92	100.0	6.72	3.08	6.55	19.3	1.68	15.17	47.5	0
4	1.3	11.7	61.7	0.0		1	1 64.0	1.64E14	0.28	100.0	6.72	3.08	6.55	19.3	1.68	15.17	47.5	0
4	1.3	11.7	61.7	0.0		1	2 66.0	1.64E14	0.03	100.0	6.72	3.08	6.55	19.3	1.68	15.17	47.5	0
4	1.3	11.7	61.7	0.0		1	3 68.0	1.64E14	0.05	100.0	6.72	3.08	6.55	19.3	1.68	15.17	47.5	0
4	1.3	11.7	61.7	0.0		1	4 70.0	1.64E14	0.1	100.0	6.72	3.08	6.55	19.3	1.68	15.17	47.5	0
5.5	0.91	8.17	76.0	0.0														
						Frequency	60											
0 62	64	66 68	70 Activation Ene	72 74 rgy Kcal/mol			0 - 40	42	44 46	48	50 5	2 54	56 5	58 60	62	64 66	68 Activation Ene	 70 rgy Kcal/i

Type II kerogen

Common Input Data of Temis1D and Temis2D studies – Geochemistry

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA – June 2014

1D Modeling

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Description of 1D models

Ten (10) 1D models have been integrated in the study:

- 6 wells with calibration data (vitrinite and temperature):
 - 1 in eastern Nova Scotia Shelf (Dauntless-D-35)
 - 2 in Laurentian Channel (Bandol-1 in the shelf domain, East-Wolverine-G-37 in the deep offshore domain)
 - 3 in the Grand Banks (New Found Land, on the shelf, from the West to the East: Emerillon-C-56, Puffin-B-90, Heron-H-73)
- · 4 "pseudo wells" located in the deep offshore part of the Laurentian Basin

All the 1D models share the same stratigraphy. The depth markers were defined as follow:

- For the first time pre-salt sediments and/or metasediments (Paleozoic to Triassic) were integrated to 1D models. The "top basement" is not the base of the salt (J200) but a deeper marker estimated with maps from the Geological Survey of Canada ("Depth to Basement of the Continental Margin of Eastern Canada", used in 1D models only). 11 depth markers were interpreted by geophysics: Seabed / T29 / T50 / K94 / K101 / K130 / K137 / J150 / J163 / top salt (specially picked for the 1D modeling) / J200. In one pseudo-well top and
- base of the allochthonous salt have been provided too.
- From 15 to 25 well markers are provided by sedimentological logs reinterpreted in 2014 (cf. previous chapters), plus the log Dauntless (studied in the 2011). The number of available markers mainly depends on the oldest formation reached by the well.

Depending on the context, the origin and the resolution of the model vary:

- For Puffin-B-90 which was not included in the sedimentological study and which is relatively far from available seismic lines (about 10 km north and east of the closest line), well markers and facies attributions are reinterpreted from available well reports (Well History Report and Paleontological Summary). Deep horizons from the Callovian to J200 (base of the salt) are extrapolated from nearest seismic lines.
- For the 5 other wells, well markers for drilled formations come from sedimentological logs. Deep horizons down to J200 are exported from the seismic study.
- · For the 4 pseudo-wells, only the seismic interpretation is available.

The same lithology library has been used in all the 1D models. It has been modified from the one used in 2D models (higher resolution of 1D models). Facies distribution is directly based on well logs and on conceptual models (for undrilled sections).

The 5 potential source rocks are implemented in the 1D models, however source rocks effective thicknesses are not taken into account (maturity modeling only). Even if the source rocks are not identified in the wells, a SR potential has been defined at corresponding stratigraphic levels (except if the layer is missing or eroded). The geochemical scheme is the same as the one used in the 2D models. Note that the Lower Jurassic SR (Pliensbachian and / or Toarcian) is Type II in the deep offshore basin, and type II-III on the Shelf (where the source rock potential is likely very low, like in Heron).

Like in 2D models, the whole lithosphere and the rifting event are considered for improving the thermal modeling through geological times. The present day crust thickness comes from the Geological Survey of Canada (map "Crustal Thickness, Seismicity, and Stress Orientation of the Continental Margin of Eastern Canada").

Calibration Data

Only Temperature and Vitrinite Reflectance data are calibrated. The pressure modeling is not feasible in1D models not associated to a 3D model. Temperature and vitrinite reflectance data are available in the 6 wells.

- Most of the temperature data come from log measurements (not corrected BHT). Such data often underestimate the formation temperature by 10°C / 10%, up to 20% in some case. In the example of Heron the temperature vary between 76°c and 92°C in the interval 2600-2800 m. The model is usually "calibrated" when the temperature trend is close or above control points. Single data points are always questionable.
- Vitrinite reflectance data are uncertain too. Depending on the sampled maceral and on the laboratories, values can be significantly over or underestimated (>25%).
 - In the example of Heron, some vitrinite reflectance data seem strongly overestimated: at 3662 m. The vitrinite reflectance has been measured at 1.23 in 1988, 0.81 in 1990, and about 0.89 in 2014 (at 3291 m). In that case the "real" vitrinite reflectance is certainly between 0.8 and 0.9 according to the model (no higher heat flow or major erosion in the past).
 - In the example of Dauntless, on the contrary, the vitrinite reflectance is likely underestimated. Present day temperatures are too high to fit the measured vitrinite reflectance (and as mentioned before BHT are scarcely overestimated).
 - Despite uncertainties, several maturity trends are clearly identifiable, particularly on VRo data: Dauntles, Bandol and Puffin are "cooler" than Heron and Emerillon. East Wolverine seems rather "hot" too, despite its distal location.



Location, stratigraphy, and calibration data - 1D models

	Age (Ma)	Seismic Horizon	Source Rock (top horizon)
Top Sediment-Seabe	0	Х	
i_top Miocene	5.3		
i_mid Miocene	11.6		
i_top Oligocene	23		
Т29	29	Х	
i_top Eocene	33.9		
Т50	50	Х	
i_intra Campanian unc	65.5		
i_intra Campanian unc	74		
i_Santonian mfs	83.5		
K94_top-Petrel	94	Х	
i_Cenomanian fs	98		
К101	101	Х	
i_Early Albian unc	108		
i_Albian-Aptian boun	112		
i_intra Aptian mfs	122		NASKAPI SR (type III)
i_Aptian-Barremian u	125		
К130	130	Х	
i_intra Hauterivian mf	133		LOWER CRETACEOUS SR (type III)
K137	137	х	
i_K147	147		TITHONIAN SR (mainly type II-III)
К150	150	Х	
i_Callovian mfs	160		
J163	163	Х	
i_Bathonian mfs 166	166		MISAINE SR (type II-III)
i_J170-unc	170		
i_Toarcian mfs J181	181		
i_Pliensbachian mfs J1	186		LOWER JURASSIC SR (type II-III or type II)
i_J188	188		
top-salt	190	(X)	
i_mid-salt	195		
J200	200	Х	
i_presalt-subdiv-1	230		
i_presalt-subdiv-1	260		
Top Basement	300		



Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA – June 2014



Stratigraphy and lithology logs – Boundary conditions

raphy	Lithofacies
- aprily i	Lidiologico

eror	ו
raphy	Litho

i_top Miocene i_mid Miocene i top Oligocene
i_mid Miocene i top Oligocene
i top Oligocene
T29
i_top Eocene
T50
i_intra Campanian unc
i_intra Campanian unc
i_Santonian mfs
K94_top-Petrel
i_Cenomanian fs
K101
i_Early Albian unc
i_Albian-Aptian boun
i_intra Aptian mfs
i_Aptian-Barremian u
K130
i_intra Hauterivian mf
K137
i_K147
K150
i_Callovian mfs
J163
i_Bathonian mfs 166
i_J170-unc
i_Toarcian mfs J181
i_Pliensbachian mfs J1
i_J188
top-salt
i_mid-salt
J200
i_presalt-subdiv-1
i_presalt-subdiv-1
Top Basement



1	01_hiatus	
10	10_shale	
13	13_30sa_70sh	
15	15_50sa_50sh	
17	17_70sa_30sh	
20	20_sandstone	
25	25_50marl-50sh	
30	30_marl	
35	35_50chalk-50sh	
40	40_chalk	
45	45_50lim-50sh	
50	50_limestone (late di	
55	55_50lim-50sa	
60	60_limestone (early d	
70	70_dolostone (early d	
90	90_salt	

Petrophysical Facies

Thermal boundary conditions - Basement

Well Pseudo-welll	Sea botom (m)	Sea botom temperature (present, °C)	Pre salt sediments thickness (m)	Beta Factor (crust thickness ratio = initial / present) Initial = 40km	Upper crust radiogenic heat production (W/m ³)
Dauntless-D-35	69	1	5000	3	1.0E-6
Loc_Batch1_N	1401	4	500	4.5	1.0E-6
Loc_Batch1_S	2763	3	500	7	6.06E-7
Loc_Dauntless_transect	2569	3.5	500	6	8.0E-7
Loc_Novaspan2000	2769	3	3000	6	8.0E-7
Emerillon	143	4	1000	2	3.5E-6
Bandol-1	93	2	8000	3	1.5E-6
East-Wolverine-G-37	1890	4	5000	4.5	1.0E-6
Puffin-B-90	106	2	7000	3.5	1.5E-6
Heron-H-73	140	3	3000	2	3.5E-6



Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014



1D model - Dauntless-D-35 and Bandol-1 (wells)

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014



Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014



Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014



1D model – LOC-Batch-1N and LOC-Batch-1S (pseudo-wells)

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA – June 2014



	LOC_Dauntless						
Depth	VRo	Temperature	SR	Stratigraphy	Lithofacies		
m	0% 1.5% 3%	°C 0 150 300					

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014



lovaspan	Conclusions on maturity levels
VRo	Pre-Salt
5% 3%	Pre-Salt sediments may have a petroleum potential in the shallow parts of the Grand Banks domain (Heron, Emerillon) where maturity levels reach the oil and the wet gas windows.

Lower Jurassic

- The presence of Toarcian and Pliensbachian sediments is proved in the basin, at least in Heron and possibly in Emerillon (Grand Banks), which strengthen tentative correlations with Moroccan and Portuguese conjugate margins. However geochemical data suggest that the source rock potential is very low in proximal parts of the basin (low TOC and HI, Type II-III kerogen) : organic-rich Lower Jurassic SRs must be looked for in deeper parts of the basin (in the center of the rift grabens), likely in the deep offshore domain.
- Except in Emerillon and Heron where Lower Jurassic sediments are within the oil window (Grand Banks continental platform, wells located on structural highs), the Lower Jurassic SR is always overmature and often overcooked in studied locations. Even below large salt canopies that may locally reduce the thermal gradient, the Lower Jurassic is often too deeply buried and too early mature (since Jurassic times) for actively contributing to current petroleum systems. The only possible scheme is an early migration of the oil and the gas generated during the Jurassic followed by a possible dismigration of the hydrocarbons toward new-formed accumulations (in Cretaceous and Cenozoic reservoirs).
- Consequently, in the deep offshore basin, the Lower Jurassic SR could directly contribute to active petroleum systems only where the burial does not exceed 5000 to 6000 m (up to 7000 to 8000 m in case of large salt canopies, particularly toward the East where the heat flow decreases with the thinning of the continental crust).
- Between the Jurassic rift margins (Heron, Emerillon) and the deep Laurentian Basin, it likely exists a domain where the source rock is still active (VRo<2%), particularly in the northern part of the basin where the burial rate has increased since the Eocene. The main question is: do organic-rich sediments were deposited in these "intermediary" parts of the basin?
- Given the great depth of the basin, the presence of gas or gas with condensate in Lower Jurassic potential petroleum systems is more likely than the occurrence of oil, even if Type II SRs existed in the central parts of the grabens.

Other Source Rocks

If they exist, Lower Cretaceous to Middle Jurassic SRs would contribute to active petroleum systems. However in the deep offshore basin Upper and Middle Jurassic SRs are often overmature. Shallow SR (including the Lower Cretaceous SR in the shelf zone) are generally immature or early mature (TR<10% in type III kerogens) and cannot contribute to efficient petroleum systems (at least at studied locations). Zones with an increased burial since Eocene times could be more attractive (more recent generation and expulsion).

Well Pseudo-welll	1 NASKAPI SR	2 LOWER CRETACEOUS SR	3 TITHONIAN SR	4 MISAINE SR	5 LOWER JURASSIC SR
Dauntless-D-35	immature				
Loc_Batch1_N					
Loc_Batch1_S					
Loc_Dauntless_transect					
Loc_Novaspan2000	immature				
Emerillon	immature	immature	Hiatus / Erosion		
Bandol-1	immature	immature	Hiatus / Erosion		
East-Wolverine-G-37	immature	immature			
Puffin-B-90	immature				
Heron-H-73	Hiatus / Erosion	immature	Hiatus / Erosion		





Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA – June 2014

2D Modeling Louisbourg Section

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014







Stratigraphy, Lithology, Basement Model and Location Map of Louisbourg Section





Restoration Scenario of Louisbourg Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014







Overpressure (Reference Scenario)

Temperature and Pressure Calibration of Louisbourg Section – Reference Scenario





Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014



Maturity Calibration of Louisbourg Section – Reference Scenario













Transformation Ratio of Section Louisbourg- Reference Scenario









Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014



Hydrocarbon Saturation of Louisbourg Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014







Hydrocarbon Saturation of Louisbourg Section – Alternative Scenarios

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014



Hydrocarbon masses & API of Louisbourg Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Hydrocarbon masses of Louisbourg Section – Alternative Scenarios

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA – June 2014

2D Modeling Dauntless Section

Stratigraphy, Lithology, Basement Model and Location Map of Dauntless Section

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Restoration Scenario of Dauntless Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Temperature and Pressure Calibration of Dauntless Section - Reference Scenario

Temperature & Pressure models are calibrated versus available observed data at Dauntless D-35 well location:

- Observed data is represented with dots
- Simulated data is represented with continuous, thick lines

Temperature calibration at Dauntless D-35 well location falls under the measurements uncertainty range.

Pressure calibration at Dauntless D-35 well is satisfactory: the pressure drop around 2,500m may corresponds either to a measurement artifact or to the presence of a thin porous sandy interval deposited during Albian times, the latter not being implemented in the PFA 2011 Dionisos facies model.

Dauntless D-35 measured pressure data picks the initial trend of the deeper modeled overpressure regime.

Maturity Calibration of Dauntless Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Transformation Ratio of Dauntless Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Ν	G

75 100 125 140	C			
	75	100	125	140

Due to the landward shift of the continental / oceanic crust limit (set in that specific case around 55 Km Location), this scenario provides a globally cooler model in the eastern half of the section, with reduced maturity levels and generated hydrocarbon fluids quantities for the 2 deepest source rock levels. The Callovian source rock barely reaches the generation and expulsion thresholds in that area.

Impact of this scenario on the kerogen transformation ratio for sediments located on the continental platform is null.

75	100	125	140

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA – June 2014

Transformation Ratio of Dauntless Section – Alternative Scenarios

Ν	G

75	100	125	140

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Transformation Ratio of Dauntless Section – Alternative Scenarios

V	G

75	100	125	140

Hydrocarbon Saturation of Dauntless Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA

Hydrocarbon Saturation (Scenario 3 = Tithonian Type II)

Hydrocarbon Saturation (Scenario 4 = Deep Tithonian)

Hydrocarbon Saturation of Dauntless Section – Alternative Scenarios

G			
une 2014			
he saturation levels at this I rocesses are made less effi cted.	ocation and eastwards. In the distal basin part o cient. The accumulations against the salt diapir a	f the model, hydrocarbon saturation levels at km 50 almost completely vanish.	s decrease within and
	100	125	140
ne Tithonian source rock la	yer leads to a more efficient infilling of the Berria	isian interval in the continental part of the r	nodel.
e more importantly by the	Tithonian source rock leading to their enlargem		in the interval even
	100	125	140
onian lavor doonly import	the Potroloum System before km E0:		
rink in size while past Km	35, the Tihonian source rock does not help anyr	nore to their infilling.	
ocated between Km 38 an of the salt diapir to the poir	d Km 50, contributes decisively to the plumbing t where accumulations are formed in the Berrias	system in that area: it brings in enough h ian and Aptian layers (Km 47).	ydrocarbon fluids so
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	100	125	140

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Hydrocarbon Masses, API & GOR Feed of Dauntless Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Hydrocarbon Masses of Dauntless Section – Alternative Scenarios

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

nresent		St	tratig Cha	raphic art	G	eological Events	Source Rock	Reservoir	Seal	Trap Formation	Ge	HC enera	: atio	n
0.0117 0.126 0.781 1.806 2.588	tornon		Holocene eistocene	Upper Middle Calabrian Gelasian										
3.600 5.333		F	Pliocene	Piacenzian Zanclean										
7.246 11.62 13.82 15.97 20.44	ZOIC		Miocene -	Messinian Tortonian Serravallian Langhian Burdigalian										
23.03	Cenc			Aquitanian Chattian										
33.9		C	Dligocene	Rupelian	Р									
38.0 41.3		derie	Facence	Priabonian Bartonian	A									
47.8		aleo	Locene	Lutetian Ypresian	S									SR
59.2 61.6		P	aleocene	Thanetian Selandian	5									Naskapi
66.0 72.1 ±0.2				Maastrichtian	V									
83.6 ±0.2				Campanian	E			Carbonates					SR	
86.3 ±0.5 89.8 ±0.3			Upper	Coniacian	М								gues	
93.9	ic o	sno	-	Turonian	А				-				Missis	
100.5	esozo	eracer		Albian	R									
~ 125.0	≥ č	5		Aptian	G	nks elift	Naskapi					2 S S		
~ 129.4 ~ 132 9			Lower	Barremian Hauterivian	N	D C		Clastics				honia		
~ 139.8				Valanginian		and aloi	Mississauga	*				, Ĕ		
~ 145.0				Berriasian		Gr A v		Clastics **		Stratigraphia	SR	평		
152.1 ±0.9			_	Tithonian		1	Tithonian			Stratigraphic +	nia n			
157.3 ±1.0			Upper	Kimmeridgian						Structural (diapir.)	0a.ct	2		
163.5 ±1.0 166.1 ±1.2 168.3 ±1.3 170.3 ±1.4	oic	2000	Middle	Oxfordian Callovian Bathonian Baiocian			Misaine			traps	Pliens			
174.1 ±1.0	esoz			Aalenian				Clastics						
182.7 ±0.7	ž		-	Toarcian		-				$\mathbf{\vee}$				
190.8 ±1.0			Lower	Pliensbachian			Pliensbachian			Ŧ				
199.3 ±0.3 201.3 ±0.2		4 Cab	- C Finn	Sinemurian Hettangian		End of Rifting								

(c) International Commission on Stratigraphy, January 2013 http://www.stratigraphy.org/ICSchart/ChronostratChart2013-01.pdf

*

The Tithonian / Berriasian sandstones contain the main accumulations modeled in TemisFlow for the Dauntless section

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA – June 2014

2D Modeling Bandol Section

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Stratigraphy, Lithology, Basement Model and Location Map of Bandol Section

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA – June 2014

Restoration Scenario of Bandol Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Water Pressure (Reference Scenario)

Overpressure (Reference Scenario)

Temperature and Pressure Calibration of Bandol Section - Reference Scenario

Temperature & Pressure models are calibrated versus available observed data at Bandol-1 well location:

- Observed data is represented with dots
- Simulated data is represented with continuous, thick lines

Temperature calibration is satisfactory.

Pressure calibration is satisfactory: the mud weight profile remains linear as the pressure distribution along the well path is roughly hydrostatic as illustrated by the 2D overpressure model.

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Maturity Calibration of Bandol Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Transformation Ratio of Bandol Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Transformation Ratio of Bandol Section – Alternative Scenarios

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Hydrocarbon Saturation of Bandol Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Hydrocarbon Masses, API & GOR Feed of Bandol Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Hydrocarbon Masses of Bandol Section – Alternative Scenarios

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

	Stratigraphic Chart				Geological Events		Source Rock	Reservoir	Seal	Trap Formation	HC Genera	
present 0.0117 0.126 0.781 1.806 2.588 3.600 5.333 7.246		e Quaternary	Holocene Pleistocene Pliocene	Upper Middle Calabrian Gelasian Piacenzian Zanclean Messinian								
11.62 13.82 15.97 20.44 23.03	Cenozoic	Neogen	Miocene	Tortonian Serravallian Langhian Burdigalian Aquitanian								
28.1 33.9 38.0 41.3	ogene	ogene	Oligocene	Rupelian Priabonian Bartonian Lutetian	P A					Stratigraphic + Structural		
47.8 56.0 59.2 61.6 66.0		Рае	Paleocene	Ypresian Thanetian Selandian Danian	S -S -I					traps		
72.1 ±0.2 83.6 ±0.2 86.3 ±0.5 89.8 ±0.3 93.9	oic	SNO	Upper	Maastrichtian Campanian Santonian Coniacian Turonian Cenomanian	V E M A			* Carbonates				
~ 113.0 ~ 125.0 ~ 129.4 ~ 132.9 ~ 139.8 ~ 145.0	Mesoz	Cretace	Lower	Albian Aptian Barremian Hauterivian Valanginian Berriasian	R G I N	Grand Banks Avalon Uplift	Naskapi Mississauga	Clastics	1	Stratigraphic + Structural (diapir.) traps		Tithonian SR
152.1 ±0.9 157.3 ±1.0 163.5 ±1.0 166.1 ±1.2 168.3 ±1.3 170.3 ±1.4	ozoic	assic	Upper Middle	Tithonian Kimmeridgian Oxfordian Callovian Bathonian Bajocian			Tithonian Misaine	Clastics 🗙 Clastics Clastics			oachian SR Misaine S	
1/4.1 ±1.0 182.7 ±0.7 190.8 ±1.0 199.3 ±0.3 201.3 ±0.2	Meso		Lower	Toarcian Pliensbachian Sinemurian Hettangian		End of Rifting	Pliensbachian				Plianst	

Chart drafted by K.M. Cohen, S. Finney, P.L. Gibbard (c) International Commission on Stratigraphy, January 2013 http://www.stratigraphy.org/ICSchart/ChronostratChart2013-01.pdf

* Main accumulations observed in the reference case of basin modeling along the Bandol section

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

2D Modeling East-Wolverine Section

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

heat flow variation.

to a globally cooler model.

An alternative scenario is proposed in the atlas in order to test the influence of crustal

In the scenario, the limit between continental

and oceanic crust domains is shifted

landward (northwest) at kilometer 85, leading

(¹ ⁴⁰

60

80

100

Depth

PLATE 9.54

Mantle

Oceanic Crust

Upper Continental Crust

Lower Continental Crust

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA – June 2014

Restoration Scenario of East-Wolverine Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Overpressure (Reference Scenario)

Temperature and Pressure Calibration of East-Wolverine Section - Reference Scenario

Temperature calibration is only controlled by a single BHT value.

No Pressure calibration is available.

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Maturity Calibration of East-Wolverine Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Transformation Ratio of East-Wolverine Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Transformation Ratio of East-Wolverine Section – Alternative Scenarios

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA – June 2014

Transformation Ratio of East-Wolverine Section – Alternative Scenarios

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Hydrocarbon Saturation of East-Wolverine Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Hydrocarbon Masses, API & GOR Feed of East-Wolverine Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Hydrocarbon Masses of East-Wolverine Section – Alternative Scenarios

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA – June 2014

CONCLUSION

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Source Rocks

As showcased by the Synthetic Petroleum System Chart here below, the models feature the following 5 source rock levels:

- Naskapi (or Aptian 122 Ma) Type III kerogen
- Mississauga (or Valanginian 136 Ma) Type III kerogen
- Tithonian (148 Ma) Type II/III kerogen •
- Misaine (or Callovian 166 Ma) Type II/III kerogen
- Pliensbachian (196 Ma) Type II kerogen •

Maturity modeling shows that the potential Lower and Middle Jurassic source rocks (Pliensbachian and Misaine) are generally overmature throughout the studied area, given their high burial depth ranging approximately from 7,000m to 10,000m. Even below large salt canopies that may locally reduce the thermal gradient, the Lower and Middle Jurassic source rocks are buried deeply enough to remain well below the gas windows, even getting overcooked locally: overcooking occurs for the Pliensbachian source rock around 6,000m burial in the continental domain and around 8,000m burial in the transition zone.

Due to high burial rates after the rifting event, maturity processes start early during Middle to Late Jurassic times, ending generally during Cretaceous.

The Tithonian source rock layer is overall immature, except at some specific locations which are usually depressions associated to the normal fault system at the shelf edge: at such locations it is entering the oil window and contributes to the generation of hydrocarbon fluids in the system.

The Lower Cretaceous source rocks (Naskapi and Mississauga) remain mostly immature or early mature (TR<10% with type III kerogens) throughout the studied area and as such they cannot be considered as effective contributors to the hydrocarbon production in the petroleum systems.

CONCLUSION

Along studied sections the hydrocarbons in place originate mainly from the Lower (Pliensbachian) and Middle (Misaine) Jurassic source rocks, which are the main contributors to the overall hydrocarbon quantities produced in the petroleum systems of the study area. Nevertheless the real potential of Jurassic source rocks is poorly constrained in the Laurentian Basin.

The onset of the migration processes lays between ~160 Ma for the Lower Jurassic source rocks and between ~95 Ma and ~25 Ma for the Lower Cretaceous ones. The hydrocarbon expulsion from Lower Jurassic source rocks is maximum during the Late Jurassic and the Early Cretaceous.

Large quantities of hydrocarbon fluids (in the form of gas and to a lesser extent of condensates) remain trapped within the Jurassic layers, hardly being able to pierce through the thick shaly middle-jurassic series deposited along the platform and in the distal areas in the basin. The preservation of those large gas quantities is to be questioned. They could be considered as diffuse accumulations which have dissipated through geological time and, at Present, no commercial value should be attached to them because of the great depth (>10km depth with a water depth ranging from 0 to 4km). Additionally those series may experience severe overpressure conditions.

From those deep intervals migration patterns are mostly vertical. The migration toward Cretaceous reservoirs is usually slow and progressive. The hydrocarbon saturation front progression is locally eased by:

Finally, the formation of the best traps in Upper Cretaceous and Berriasian intervals precedes the slow inflow of hydrocarbon fluids, making the timing of trap creation versus fluid migration relatively favorable (despite the early generation/expulsion in Jurassic source rocks. Most of the accumulations in Cretaceous layers are completely filled during the Neogene, but the onset of the infilling is often Late Cretaceous (the existence of two successive infilling events is possible).

Dismigration is observed mainly in the Berriasian interval where permeable pro-deltaic sandy lithofacies allow the hydrocarbon fluids, originally stacked below the Valanginian seal, to migrate laterally in pinch-outs west of the normal fault system (see Dauntless section).

The amount of hydrocarbons that reaches Cretaceous accumulations is relatively small in comparison with what remains dispersed in Jurassic units. On the basis of studied sections the chance to find large drainage areas is rather low, particularly in the salt basin.

Reservoirs & Plays

The migration patterns described in the Charge & Migration section is efficient to fill:

Reservoirs are mostly charged with gas, the oil occurrence remaining very low throughout all 2D models built. The predominance of gas in the models has several explanations:

According to the models, the presence of oil is also possible at the easternmost fringe of the salt basin, close to the continental/oceanic crust boundary, where the burial of Jurassic source rocks is somehow smaller, and where the presence of salt canopies and of a crust poorer in radioactive elements, reduces the thermal gradient. However no large oil accumulations are modeled in this domain.

Reservoirs which may contain some oil quantities are all subjected to temperatures exceeding 80°C, sheltering them from the occurrence of biodegradation processes.

Hydrocarbon Migration

- · The occurrence of salt diapirism which drains fluids upwards,
- The normal faults system located at the shelf edge.
- The contribution of the Tithonian layer, where the Tithonian source rock is mature enough.
- The existence of such features is a key element in the occurrence of active petroleum systems.

- · Carbonate reservoirs in the Upper Cretaceous interval, the shaly Paleocene series stacked atop acting as a seal. Such traps have a structural component attached to them as they are located usually on top of salt diapirs apexes.
- Sandy clastic reservoirs in the Berriasian interval which are sealed by the efficient Mississauga (Valangian) layer.
- Sandy clastic reservoirs in the Upper Jurassic interval, sealed by the Tithonian layer. Those traps have a strong stratigraphic component attached to them, being pro-deltaic sands (see East-Wolverine section) or turbiditic sand lenses in the basin part of the sections (see Bandol section). Their viability could be confirmed through a more detailed and constrained facies model.

Many traps have a mixed origin, stratigraphic and structural.

- The Pliensbachian source rock would be the main contributor to petroleum systems (according to studied sections). Due to its rapid and considerable burial, this source rock maturated very early (from Middle to Later Jurassic) and got overmature during the Lower Cretaceous. As a consequence, it quickly injected gas into the system.
- Oil generated by the Pliensbachian SR (assumed to be a type II source rock) remained in Jurassic units for tens of million years (the vertical migration is slow according to the model). The severe secondary cracking of the oil produced large amount of gas before the hydrocarbon migration into Cretaceous reservoirs.
- Shallower source rocks, including the Misaine SR and the Tithonian SR, would contain type II-III or type III kerogens. Terrigenous organic matter usually produces more gas than oil, including at low maturity levels.

Still some oil accumulations may be delineated:

- Within pro-deltaic sands in the Berriasian interval, the oil being sourced from the Tithonian layer (see Bandol and East-Wolverine sections).
- In Upper Cretaceous carbonates (see Bandol section),
- In Upper Jurassic reservoirs against salt diapirs (see Bandol section).

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA – June 2014

APPENDIX

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Appendix 1: Secondary Cracking Risk within Louisbourg Section – Reference Scenario

Laurentian sub-basin study - CANADA - June 2014

Appendix 2: Secondary Cracking Risk within Bandol Section